Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,485	SCHILLING ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Gregory R. Del Cotto.	(3)	
(2) <u>Allen Hoover</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2006</u>	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  All		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:  McPherson et al (US 6,063,178)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mulle		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to insert "dust suppressent or soil stabilization) into the preamble of all the independent claims and insert the word "hull" after "corn". Additionally, Applicant proposed to add new claims 60-62, cancel claims 5, 6, 25, 30, 47, and 48 and make any other additional changes as indicated in the attached Examiner's Amendment.